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**ABSTRACT**

**Background**: Evidence on the influence of polysubstance use (PSU) on substance use treatment (SUT) non-completion (i.e., treatment dropouts, spelled by misconduct) is limited, especially outside the Global North. Most studies on treatment outcomes exclude patients who report PSU or have a history of prior treatment, limiting their applicability to real-world settings. Therefore, examining the association of reporting PSU in treatment non-completion across different treatments is crucial for improving treatment for these groups.

**Methods**: This comprehensive retrospective cohort study used adult treatment records from the Chilean National Substance Use Agency (2010–2019) of 13,317 individuals with multiple treatments (30,988 episodes). The primary outcome was SUT non-completion (vs. completion). Relative risk and 95% confidence intervals were obtained through Poisson general estimating equations using inverse intensity weighting and adjusting for socio demographics, mental health and substance use patterns. Analyses were stratified by baseline treatment settings, and homogeneity of associations was tested. Weights were derived from a Cox proportional hazards model of treatment visit intensity, using lagged covariates to address dependencies. Two models were conducted: for the first treatment episode (with no prior data), lagged dichotomized categorical covariates were fixed to either 0 (lag0) or 1 (lag1), and log-scaled previous days in treatment were set to 45 or 90 days, respectively.

**Results**: The association between PSU and non-completion varied across treatment settings (Cochran's Q lag0= 14.24, p= 0.007; Cochran's Q lag1= 13.32, p= 0.010). Non-completion risk was higher in patients reporting PSU in general population intensive ambulatory (RRlag0=1.04 95%CI 1.01-1.07; RRlag1=1.04 95%CI 1.01-1.08) and in women-only residential settings (RRlag0=1.15 95%CI 1.06-1.25; RRlag1= 1.13 95%CI 1.04-1.22) vs. patients not reporting PSU.

**Conclusions**: Reporting PSU was associated with a modest increase in SUT non-completion risk, especially in intensive ambulatory and women-only settings. This study, one of the few from outside the Global North, highlights the need for interventions for patients reporting PSU. These results can guide policies and clinical practices to address complex treatment dynamics and needs of patients reporting PSU and improve SUT outcomes.
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# INTRODUCTION

Polysubstance use (PSU) refers to the concurrent or sequential use of more than one substance, either unintentionally or intentionally [(Bunting et al., 2024; Quek et al., 2013)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?FW5yDA). People with substance use disorders (SUD) often engage in PSU during their lifetime [(Connor et al., 2014)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?MKrndu). Importantly, individuals with PSU are a high-risk population due to higher mortality rates [(Gjersing & Bretteville‐Jensen, 2018)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?E9GoKQ), increased risk of relapse [(Chen et al., 2019; Hassan & Le Foll, 2019)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?mpl1eZ), and reduced responsiveness to substance use treatment [(Bonfiglio et al., 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?7CrhNC). PSU might also be associated with risky sexual behavior [(Daskalopoulou et al., 2014; Sewell et al., 2017)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?e0CnJa), violence [(Choi et al., 2022; Steele & Peralta, 2020)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?JVGTq5), and psychiatric comorbidities [(Mefodeva et al., 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?GzOhtr). Over the last three decades, evidence has shown a significant increase in the number of patients with PSU in high-income countries from North America, Europe, and Oceania [(Bonfiglio et al., 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?i0Uqfr), highlighting the relevance of studying this topic. A meta-analysis of Global North studies on cocaine use found that over 70% of cocaine users concurrently consumed alcohol, and between 38% and 64% reported concurrent marijuana use [(Liu et al., 2018)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?eyglEd). However, there remains a knowledge gap regarding the prevalence of PSU in the Global South.

Moreover, evidence on the long-term consequences of reporting PSU on treatment outcomes is limited and mixed. Most studies have focused on the association between completing SUD treatment and long-term benefits, such as a lower risk of readmission to treatment [(Ruiz-Tagle Maturana et al., 2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?OBoM2l) and lower risk of relapse [(Andersson et al., 2019)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?6SGWsD). The lack of research on PSU is partly explained because most studies have focused on individual substances in isolation and have considered multiple substance use history as an exclusion criterion for clinical studies on treatment effectiveness [(Bonfiglio et al., 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?6Wv5z3). Regarding treatment outcomes, some studies have found a higher likelihood of dropping out of treatment among patients reporting PSU [(Andersson et al., 2021; Levola et al., 2021)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?4R33Od), while others have found no association [(Andersson et al., 2018)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?d5VOUK) or even lower non-completion rates [(Basu et al., 2017)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?Vc39vz). Therefore, it is crucial to determine the role of reporting PSU, including the patterns of PSU [(Bhondoekhan et al., 2023; Price et al., 2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?jI6xzc), in treatment non-completion to improve treatment effectiveness and research translatability [(Crummy et al., 2020)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?U6NvAI).

Moreover, the role of PSU in treatment effectiveness should be understood in the context of understanding addiction as a chronic condition in which a proportion of patients will transition across multiple treatments during their lifetime [(Bórquez et al., 2024; Fleury et al., 2016)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?75wTDB). Patients with persistent SUD show different characteristics from those who no longer have a disorder after a single treatment or without any treatment [(Beaulieu et al., 2024a)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?5rHt8j). The latter requires developing empirical strategies to account for explanations other than causal associations (e.g., confounding). This presents a methodological challenge, as studies on the relationship between PSU and treatment outcomes have overlooked these complex dynamics.

Additionally, these treatments are irregularly spaced but not random, as the time between treatments might be related to biopsychosocial and treatment-related factors. Hence, patients with worse outcomes in previous treatments might have different treatment intensities in the future, which may also explain treatment outcomes, such as completion or dropout [(Hansen et al., 2020; Vázquez-Real et al., 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?B1f6qy). Observing individuals more frequently due to their specific characteristics, practices, contexts, or treatment trajectories can lead to under- or overestimation of the association between PSU and treatment outcomes. However, limited research has considered this potential bias.

Thus, this study aimed to estimate the association between having reported PSU and treatment non-completion (i.e., treatment dropouts or spelled by misconduct) across different treatment settings among adult patients admitted to multiple SUD treatment programs in Chile from 2010 to 2019, taking advantage of a large and high-quality administrative dataset that includes all treatment episodes of patients with public health insurance (~80% of the population) since its creation in 2010 up to 2022 [(Mateo Pinones et al., 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?p41BUx).

* 1. The Chilean context

In Chile, treatments for adults with SUD are delivered in residential, intensive ambulatory, and basic ambulatory settings. Residential settings have a planned duration of around twelve months, however, it varies depending on patients’ needs, and are offered from five to seven days a week with at least five weekly interventions, while intensive ambulatory have a duration of six to eight months, with weekly sessions that have a duration of six hours and up to four interventions. Additionally, residential and intensive SUT programs offer two modalities: one for the general population and another designed for women, addressing needs such as pregnancy and childcare. In contrast, basic ambulatory settings offer only the general population modality. Like several Latin American countries and most South American nations, Chilean treatment services receive mostly patients with alcohol use disorder, followed by those with disorders related to the use of cocaine and cocaine base paste, cannabis, and pharmaceutical products. While the proportion of patients using opioids and injecting drug use is uncommon in the Latin American context [(Ruiz-Tagle Maturana et al., 2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?JNyup7). Importantly, PSU is frequent in the Chilean population. A study conducted in a Chilean hard-to-reach population that used cocaine base paste found that 47–66% had PSU [(Olivari et al., 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?aXiJSo). Moreover, the Chilean Budgetary Office study found that two out of three patients admitted to substance use treatment reported PSU, highlighting the need for further research to determine whether treatments effectively address care priorities of patients with PSU [(DIPRES, 2017)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?KdGSQz).

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

* 1. Setting and participants

The design is a retrospective cohort study, using administrative records of adult patients (+18 years old) with SUD treatments from 2010 to 2019. Censoring occurred after the date of data retrieval (November 13, 2019). Patients with only one treatment episode (i.e., one treatment record) were excluded (See Supplemental Section 1 for details). To account for variability by treatment setting, we stratified the analysis by baseline treatment: basic ambulatory (n=4,360), intensive ambulatory for the general population (GP) (n=4,998), GP residential (n=2,178), women-only (WO) intensive ambulatory (n=745), and WO residential (n=1,036). After excluding records of ongoing treatments and referrals outside the treatment network, 72,404 patients with 90,075 treatment episodes were selected. We focused on patients who received more than one treatment (18%), identifying 13,317 patients and 30,988 treatment episodes. This study was approved by the Griffith University Human Research Ethics Committee (GUHREC GU Ref. No: 2022/919).

* 1. Variables

The exposure variable was PSU at each admission, based on the presence of primary and secondary substances that met the criteria for SUD, evaluated in one or more clinical interviews [(Crummy et al., 2020; Font-Mayolas & Calvo, 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?SMbb56).

The outcome variable was SUD treatment outcome/non-completion (1=dropout or spelled by misconduct; 0=completed treatment). In addition, the models were adjusted for various baseline confounding variables related to substance use, demographics, and social factors.

The following covariates registered at admission to treatment were included in the model assessing the association between reported PSU and treatment non-completion status: age, birth year (to capture potential cohort effects), primary substance of the initial diagnosis (cocaine hydrochloride, cocaine base paste, marijuana, and other substances), psychiatric comorbidity (confirmed comorbidity or diagnosis unknown or under study), daily frequency of primary substance use, occupational status (inactive or unemployed), primary substance (cocaine hydrochloride, cocaine base paste, marijuana, and other substances), and biopsychosocial compromise. The biopsychosocial compromise is a holistic severity classification from clinical assessments that considers factors such as withdrawal symptoms, motivation to change, substance use patterns, social environment, and health symptoms. For further information about covariates, review Supplemental Section 2.

* 1. Missing data

Given the complex longitudinal structure of the data, a random-forest-based imputation was conducted using the *missRanger* package. Three hundred trees were set with five candidate values of predictive matching (thus aiming for plausible imputations given predictor values), with a maximum of 50 iterations per chaining step. This imputation procedure may circumvent the specification of interactions or nonparametric relationships and handle collinearity between imputation variables (Hong & Lynn, 2020; Sheetal et al., 2023).

* 1. Bivariate analyses

Differences between patients reporting and not reporting PSU at baseline were quantified using standardized mean differences (SMD). Chi-square (χ2) and chi-square standardized residuals tests were employed to compare the proportion of patients by baseline treatment setting, PSU and treatment completion. The statistical significance level was p value <0.05.

Incidence rates (IR) were calculated per 1,000 person-months to explore the crude association between reporting PSU and treatment non-completion while accounting for heterogeneous follow-up times.

* 1. Model adjustment

Marginal regression models were fitted to estimate the relative risk (RR) and 95% confidence intervals of non-completing SUD treatment among patients with or without PSU at admission using generalized estimating equations (GEE), assuming a Poisson distribution with a log-link function and an independent covariance structure [(Grafféo et al., 2018)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?Smflbm).

Given that data collection was based on administrative records with varying frequencies of patient admissions and follow-up periods, the irregular timing of assessments was examined (See Supplemental Section 3). GEE models were weighted using inverse intensity weights (IIW) to address the irregular patterns of admission to treatment. Intensities were measured through Cox proportional hazards model to account for the time-to-event (e.g., remaining in treatment or being readmitted) as a counting process, predicted by covariates of the previous treatment episode. For the initial treatment episode where no previous information was available, lagged dichotomized categorical predictors (through *one hot encoding*) were fixed to either 0 (*lag0*) or 1 (*lag1*), and log-scaled continuous covariates of previous days in treatment were fixed to reference values of 45 or 90 days, respectively. These fixed covariate values allowed modelling different baseline scenarios (See Supplemental Section 4 for model specification). Heterogeneity tests were performed across treatment setting strata to examine effect measure modification in ratio metrics [(Kaufman & MacLehose, 2013)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?xVePCj).

* 1. Sensitivity analyses

Sensitivity analyses were conducted through a traditional GEE model without weights. Also, to account for overdispersion of PSU reports, models with Negative Binomial distributions were tested using the Quasi-likelihood Information criterion for model selection. A third sensitivity analysis used the traditional GEE model without weights, and decomposing PSU status into three groups: patients showing PSU with alcohol as their secondary substance at admission, patients with PSU without alcohol as their secondary substance, and patients without PSU (See Supplemental Sections 5 & 6). All analyses were performed in R v. 4.1.2 (R Core Team, Vienna).

* 1. Data and code availability

Code & markdowns are available here: bit.ly/4cE8gyf.

# RESULTS

* 1. Characteristics of the study sample

Several key differences were notable among the individuals who reported PSU. In terms of demographics at baseline, patients with PSU, when compared to patients who reported single substance use, tend to be younger with a higher proportion of severe biopsychosocial compromise (44% vs 29%). In addition, they have a higher proportion of marijuana (35.3% vs 20.3%) as a primary substance at the first diagnosis, and cocaine base paste (54.8% vs 37.9%) as a primary substance at admission to treatment. A higher percentage of the participants were unemployed. These differences led to adjust for covariates to estimate the relative risk of treatment non-completion between patients who reported PSU and those who did not (Table 1).

* 1. Prevalence and incidence of PSU and treatment completion

Focusing on patients with multiple treatment episodes (Figure 1), the data suggest that the strength and direction of the association between PSU and treatment completion vary across treatment settings. This variability indicates that a common association (χ²(1) = 76.04, p < 0.001) among different baseline settings may be unreliable (Woolf test: χ²(4)= 13.74, p= 0.008). Specifically, there is no evidence of an association within patients in baseline residential general-population (χ²(1)= 0.57, p=0.418) and in women-specific intensive ambulatory settings (χ²(1)= 2.82, p=0.092). In contrast, an association was found within patients in general-population basic ambulatory (χ²(1)= 36.39, p<0.001) general-population intensive ambulatory (χ²(1)= 45.06, p<0.001), and women-specific residential settings (χ²(1)= 22.46, p<0.001). From another perspective, non-completion was associated with baseline treatment setting (χ²(4)= 177.64, p<0.001). Patients in baseline basic ambulatory settings showed the highest percentages of non-completion (80% in patients with PSU and 74% in patients with single substance use) (Post-hoc χ2 residuals= 8.07, p<0.001), followed by patients in general-population intensive ambulatory settings (Post-hoc χ2 res.= 3.61, p=0.003). In contrast, patients in general-population (Post-hoc χ2 res.= -11.07, p<0.001) and in women-specific residential (Post-hoc χ2 res.= -5.05, p<0.001) settings showed lower non-completion. Also, there is evidence of an association between baseline treatment setting and reporting polysubstance use (χ2(4)= 194.31, p< 0.001). The greatest proportion of patients with PSU was found in residential general-population settings (84%; Post-hoc χ2 res.= 8.78, p=0.028) and in women-specific residential settings (82%; Post-hoc χ2 res.= 2.99, p=0.028). In contrast, the lowest proportion was in basic ambulatory settings (75%; Post-hoc χ2 res.= -12.30, p<0.001).

However, a lower incidence of non-completion was observed in patients who reported PSU at admission (Table 2). The incidence of at least one non-completion episode per patient followed from the first admission until the censoring date (November 2019), was lower in patients who reported PSU at admission to the first treatment (11.6 [95%CI: 11.3, 11.8] per 1,000 person-months) compared to patients who did not report PSU (13.2 [95%CI: 12.6, 13.8]). Similarly, the incidence of non-completion at the first admission was lower among patients who reported PSU at admission to the first treatment (9.9 [95%CI: 9.7 10.1]) versus patients who did not report PSU (11.3 [95%CI: 10.8, 11.9]). When focusing longitudinally on patients who had at least one treatment in which they reported PSU, rates of at least one non-completion were lower in this group (11.6 [95%CI: 11.4,11.9]) compared to patients who did not report any PSU (13.9; [95%CI: 13.1, 14.8]). This trend was also observed when looking at the incidence of non-completion at the first treatment episode alone (10.0 [95%CI: 9.8,10.2] versus 11.9 [95%CI: 11.1, 12.6]).

* 1. Marginal longitudinal association between PSU at admission and treatment outcome

The association between PSU and treatment non-completion varied significantly across treatment settings (Cochran's Qlag0 [lagged covariate values fixed at 0]= 14.24, p= 0.0066; Cochran's Qlag1 [lagged covariate values fixed at 1]= 13.32, p= 0.0098). According to Table 3, we found a modest association between PSU at any admission to treatment and treatment non-completion among users in intensive ambulatory settings for the general population (RRlag0=1.04 [95%CI: 1.01,1.07]; RRlag1=1.04 [95%CI: 1.01, 1.08]). Also, the risk of treatment non-completion was at least 13% higher for patients reporting PSU in residential settings exclusive to women (RRlag0=1.15 [95%CI: 1.06, 1.25]; RRlag1= 1.13 [95%CI: 1.04, 1.22]). In contrast, there was no evidence of an increased risk of treatment non-completion for patients reporting PSU in general population residential and ambulatory settings.

* 1. Sensitivity analyses

The associations between PSU and treatment non-completion were stable across models, with intervals overlapping with the null for intensive ambulatory settings for women and residential settings for the general population. Associations without inverse intensity weights were almost equal to the main analyses in terms of direction and strength of association (Table 3). One model for basic ambulatory settings showed a modest significant association (RR=1.04 [95%CI: 1.01, 1.07]). The association weakened in general population intensive ambulatory settings, especially with marginal models with inverse intensity weights from an intensity model with stratified follow-up and lagged covariates fixed at 1 (RR=1.01 [95%CI: 0.98, 1.05]). In women-specific residential settings, only the marginal model with inverse intensity weights from an intensity model stratified by follow-up with lagged covariates fixed at 1 overlapped the null (RR= 1.09 [95%CI: 0.99, 1.20]), although both settings maintained a positive direction (See Supplemental Table S5).

# DISCUSSION

Our results indicate that PSU is associated with a higher risk of treatment non-completion among patients admitted to SUD treatment programs in Chile between 2010 and 2019. We focused on patients with a persistent pattern of substance use disorder rather than a transient substance use disorder. These patients are characterized by repeated treatment episodes, varying periods of abstinence, and relapses leading to the resumption of moderate or problematic substance use, as highlighted in the literature [(Beaulieu et al., 2024b)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?737jJZ). Specifically, the risk was modestly higher in intensive ambulatory settings for the general population (RRlag0=1.04 [95%CI: 1.01,1.07]; RRlag1=1.04 [95%CI: 1.01, 1.08]) and in women-only residential settings (RRlag0=1.15 [95%CI: 1.06, 1.25]; RRlag1= 1.13 [95%CI: 1.04, 1.22]). We did not find evidence that PSU is related with a higher risk of treatment non-completion in basic ambulatory, residential or women-only intensive ambulatory settings. Our results indicate that while PSU poses challenges, the specific context and characteristics of the treatment setting play a critical role in determining outcomes, highlighting the need for focused interventions to diminish future negative consequences [(Manning et al., 2017)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?4sQ8pL).

Sensitivity analyses supported these findings, showing stability in the associations across the different model specifications and treatment settings. The risk estimates are stable and robust. Patients with PSU in specific treatment settings face heightened risks, a challenge shared across the region where there is a need to broaden the range of services offered, ensuring a more effective response to individuals’ needs [(United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime [UNODC], 2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?8wZ8F1).Regarding the reasons for non-completion among patients in treatment (with or without PSU), a study conducted in Latin America found that the main reasons for abandonment were “not accepting the rules of the institution,” “lack of money,” and “not feeling comfortable with the facilities” [(Gómez-Restrepo et al., 2017)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?s9wBWN). Additionally, an impact evaluation of treatment in Chile identified a lack of time to attend (in ambulatory treatments) and a perceived sense of having achieved the expected well-being before completing treatment [(DIPRES, 2017)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?vCaNds) as the main reasons for non-completion. However, it remains unclear whether these reasons for not completing treatment are the same for patients with PSU as for those with single substance use.

Bivariate analyses highlight the various demographic and clinical characteristics that differentiate patients reporting PSU from those using a single substance. Patients with PSU tended to be younger, and were more likely to be unemployed compared to single-substance users. The primary substances leading to treatment admission differed significantly, with PSU patients reporting cocaine hydrochloride and base paste as their main substances, unlike patients without PSU, who reported alcohol as their main substance. Moreover, severe biopsychosocial compromise is more prevalent among patients with PSU. Although individuals reporting PSU had a higher cumulative prevalence of dropout or administrative discharge, their incidence of these outcomes per person-month was lower than that of patients not reporting PSU. This finding suggests that the former group may have had a longer observation period in the database, potentially influencing the observed association., as shown in Table 2. We suspect that the continuity of substance use treatments throughout the recovery process, as considered in our study, is often overlooked in other research, which has generally reported lower treatment responsiveness among patients with PSU [(Bonfiglio et al., 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?a8ImYP). These seemingly contradictory results underscore the importance of examining not only the crude incidence, but the count of these events by considering other confounding variables and adjusting for the influence of these variables in the counting process.

Additionally, our findings suggest gender differences regarding the implications of alcohol for PSU. Women reporting PSU with and without alcohol as a secondary substance had greater risk of non-completion among patients in women-specific residential settings. Women in residential treatments tend to have a severe substance use profile that develops rapidly. Additionally, women with severe alcohol use disorder are prone to prolonged PSU [(Stephenson et al., 2022](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?03yYTj)), which in turn is associated with worse treatment outcomes, regardless of the substances used. Gender roles may help explain the observed differences, as women who use substances face heightened stigmatization for failing to fulfil family roles (e.g., as mothers or daughters) and moral expectations, particularly regarding self-control and sexual propriety. This pattern has been observed in both Western countries [(MacGregor & Thom, 2020)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?O0WhbU) and the Latin American context [(Mascayano et al., 2016)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?ObUtSC).

Regarding secondary analyses, we observed that accounting for irregular assessment of patients with multiple treatments (i.e., different frequency of treatments and time between treatments) through inverse intensity weighting did not substantially change the associations between reporting PSU and treatment non-completion. Interestingly, some factors that we expected to be influential, such as PSU in previous treatments, specific primary substances at admission, and certain psychiatric comorbidities [(Passos & Camacho, 2000)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?bVgxhW), were found to have negligible or non-significant effects on treatment return rates. We suspect adjusting for biopsychosocial compromise and stratification by treatment setting would have captured the variability attributed to these factors and substantially attenuated these associations. Future studies should explore whether a causal conclusion can be drawn from these associations by employing other advanced causal inference methods.

This study had some limitations. First, the proportional intensity model that calculates IIWs imposes a proportional hazard assumption on assessment intensity. However, this assumption is debatable. Diagnosing proportionality in a Cox model with recurrent events can be quite challenging, and statistical tools might not account for changes in intensities due to possible changes in baseline risks for cumulative events, making interpretations of nonproportionality less straightforward [(Royston & Altman, 2013)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?larcy2). Tests based on Schoenfeld residuals are insufficient because contrasting the null hypothesis for changes as a function of time may not be entirely indicative of nonproportional hazards [(Dickman, 2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?KrLUA5). Even small violations can become apparent because of sample size [(Keele, 2010)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?yavU1T). Additionally, given that the intensity model has prediction purposes (i.e., readmission), it can still be used effectively even if hazards are not proportional [(Jardillier et al., 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?4NmrT9). However, the association described here may lack causal interpretation, given that the recurrent event process might be associated with the right censoring mechanism. For example, patients admitted for treatment on dates closer to administrative censorship or in ongoing treatments might have different characteristics that may change the inverse of susceptibility to recurrent treatments [(Rytgaard & van der Laan, 2024)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?gShfc1).

# CONCLUSION

Our study showed that PSU at admission was associated with higher treatment non-completion rates in specific settings, notably in intensive ambulatory and women-only residential programs. These findings underline the need for tailored interventions to address the unique challenges of patients with PSU, such as enhancing practices aimed at treatment retention for this population. Additionally, demographic factors such as age and birth cohort significantly influenced treatment outcomes. This study provides valuable insights for improving SUD treatment programs in Latin America, emphasizing the importance of comprehensive data collection and the development of personalized treatment strategies to enhance patient retention and completion rates.
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